

# Greenhouse Gas Measurements Program

### Advancing Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG) Measurement Tools and Standards

Kim Mueller Ages Workshop September 2022

### NIST's Greenhouse Gas Measurement Program



Purpose: Develop internationally recognized standards enabling reliable, accurate, traceable, and spatio-temporally resolved Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emission data to support effective mitigation actions and science-based policy decisions.

#### **Components:**

- Urban GHG Measurements Testbed System, Tools, and Methods
- Stationary or Point Source Emission Metrology (advanced smokestack Continuous Emissions Monitoring, aka CEMs, technology)
- Measurement Tools, Standards and Reference Data
- Satellite Calibration and Atmospheric Carbonaceous Aerosols Measurements & Standards
- International Documentary Standards Development for Urban GHG Flux Measurements



https://www.nist.gov/greenhouse-gas-measurements/

### **Project Component:** NIST's Urban GHG Testbed System

# Objective: To develop & demonstrate urban GHG flux measurement methods.

Indianapolis Flux Experiment (INFLUX)

2010

The initial testbed

9 public & private actors +



Yellow Bubbles – NIST Urban Testbed System Cities Cyan Bubbles – Additionaly Top Down/Bottom Up GHG Efforts Red Circle – DOE CitiesLEAP program – Air Quality

2013 2<sup>nd</sup> testbed 2014

Latest testbed

LA Megacities Project 12 public, non-govt., & private actors + Northeast Corridor/Baltimore - Washington (NEC/BW)

5 public & private actors +

NIST established three urban testbeds (Indianapolis, Los Angeles, and Washington/Baltimore). These are collaborative multi-institution projects (including federal agencies, universities, and the private sector), combining atmospheric measurements and analysis to estimate urban GHG emissions and related uncertainties.



https://www.nist.gov/greenhouse-gas-measurements/urban-test-beds

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# **NIST's Three Urban Testbeds**



NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Measurement Methods for GHG Emission Quantification



#### Assumed Emissions Information

\* Future Objective Tropomi, OCO-3 (SAMS), GeoCARB, etc.

Correlates to help with source apportionment



Ex.: Ratio, Mass Balance, Atmospheric Inversions, etc. (whole-city, inter-annual/annual/sub-annual, sub-city/city-block/facility, sectoral attribution, etc.)

Tie into AQ assessment  $\rightarrow$  recent and coordinated with NOAA, US EPA, and States

# **Urban Tower Network Data and Models**



- Partnership with Earth Networks (LA/NEC), SIO/JPL (LA) and PSU (Indianapolis)
- High-accuracy CO<sub>2</sub> / CH<sub>4</sub> / CO concentrations reported on WMO scales
- Northeast Corridor:
  - High density in the DC/Baltimore area
  - Plans to extend to Philadelphia, NYC, Boston
- Include regional non-urban sites to characterize background conditions (i.e. incoming CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations)
- NOAA/GML flasks for <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> & other gases to help characterize biosphere, attribute sources to economic sectors, etc.
- Emissions modeling (Hestia, Vulcan) & Biosphere modeling (VPRM) ongoing in all three
- Extensive atmospheric inverse modeling effort to estimate emissions of GHGs



# **Airborne sampling**



Stonybrook U./Purdue U. flight tracks used for GHG flux estimation. Figure from Hajny et al, (2022).

- University of Maryland, Purdue, & Stonybrook University conducting flight campaigns in Indianapolis, DC and NYC areas.
- Measurements of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>; sometimes include CO, O<sub>3</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, & turbulence / meteorology
- Mass balance, scaling factor, and full model inversion analyses using flight GHG data.
- Flight campaigns will continue at regular intervals.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLO U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC Refs: Lopez-Coto et al., ES&T (2020), Pitt et al., Elementa (2021), Ren et al., JGR (2018); Hanjy et al., Elementa (2022) & many more

### Additional testbed activities

- Airborne turbulence measurements (Stonybrook U.) and high-resolution tracer modeling around powerplants using WRF-LES
- Planning landfill emissions monitoring activity in Maryland, collaborating with EPA, Maryland Dept. of Environment, Univ. of Maryland.
- Planning deployment of low-cost CO<sub>2</sub> & AQ sensors
- Eddy covariance flux towers (Penn State) in Indianapolis and in the Washington area to diagnose CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes in cities (including suburban vegetation) (Wu et al., 2022).
- SIF-Biosphere testbed (FOREST project) on NIST campus in Maryland, collab. w/ BU, Bowdoin & others. Goal to assess SIF measurements and linkage to GPP to improve biosphere modeling (Marrs et al., GRL)
- Bottom-up emissions modeling collaboration with NOAA/CSL: GReenhouse gas And Air Pollutant Emissions System.



# Open-path dual-comb spectroscopy





- ppb-level sensitivity, ~2 minute time resolution
- GHGs: CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub>
- H<sub>2</sub>CO
- Tracers: C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, Other small VOCs
- HDO/H<sub>2</sub>O (<10 per mil)

#### Goals:

- Characterize urban emissions of GHGs and spatial-temporal variability
- Use C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> to apportion thermogenic, biogenic sources of CH<sub>4</sub>
- Look at other tracers for source apportionment
- Compare point and open-path measurements
- Look at sources of H<sub>2</sub>CO and relationship to ozone formation

Please visit 4:30 Session: Instrument Team Presenters (GHG) for more info!



Contact: Kevin Cossel, kevin.cossel@nist.gov

### **Successes and Future Efforts**

#### **Examples:**

- Estimate whole city CO<sub>2</sub> emissions using measurements and estimation techniques. (~3% agreement among methods)
- Measure relative CO<sub>2</sub> emissions drawdown associated with COVID policies (Los Angeles & Baltimore/Washington DC).
- Measured atmospheric impact of decreasing vehicle emissions in (Baltimore/Washington DC).

#### **Examples:**

- Improve consistency among urban emissions measurement
- Fossil fuel source partitioning e.g., ethane & methane isotopes
- Advanced uncertainty analyses on various modelling components
- Improvement in model components that have a large impact on emission estimation

#### **Geophysical Research Letters**

#### RESEARCH LETTER

The Impact of COVID-19 on CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions in the Los Angeles and Washington DC/Baltimore Metropolitan Areas

Special Section: The COVID-19 pandemic:

linking health, society and environment Key Points:

Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> observations can

Areas Vineet Yadav<sup>1</sup>, Subhomoy Ghosh<sup>2,3</sup>, Kimberly Mueller<sup>3</sup>, Anna Karion<sup>3</sup>, Geoffrey Roest<sup>4</sup>, Sharon M. Gourdji<sup>3</sup>, Israel Lopez-Coto<sup>3</sup>, Kevin R. Gurney<sup>4</sup>, Nicholas Parazoo<sup>1</sup>, Kristal R. Verhulst<sup>1</sup>, Jooil Kim<sup>5</sup>, Steve Prinzivalli<sup>6</sup>, Clayton Fain<sup>6</sup>, Thomas Nehrkorn<sup>7</sup>, Marikate Mountain<sup>7</sup>, Ralph F. Keeling<sup>5</sup>,

Clayton Fain<sup>5</sup>, Thomas Nehrkorn<sup>5</sup>, Marikate Mountain<sup>5</sup>, Kalph F. Keeling<sup>6</sup>, Ray F. Weiss<sup>5</sup>, Riley Duren<sup>8</sup>, Charles E. Miller<sup>1</sup>, and James Whetstone<sup>3</sup>

Estimated decrease in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions due to lockdown and attributed the decline using traffic & fuel sales data.

In this case we were successful in using activity information to isolate and attribute the changes due to the lockdown, by looking at the variability in activity associated with  $CO_2$  emissions.



Figure 3. Changes in monthly mean emissions for April and March 2020 relative to 2018/2019 means for (a) LA and (b) DC-Balt. Blue bars represent the decrease estimated from the atmospheric inversion posteriors, with error bars representing the 95% CI. Various shades of gray bars represent the decrease for each month using different activity-ased adjusted bottom-up totals, as indicated in the legend and described in the text.

# AGES activities may help us "chip" at some challenges we have with GHG quantification methods using atmospheric data

En	nissions Variability	Background & Transport Error	Sectoral Attribution
<ul> <li>Sample</li> <li>spatia</li> <li>differe</li> <li>frame</li> </ul>	ling bias – need to consider I and temporal variability at ent scales in model work.	<ul> <li>Need to isolate concentration enhancement from domain of interest (e.g., determine background).</li> <li>Transport model error affects results.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Depending on goals of project – need to isolate the sources from different sectors.</li> <li>Could be different fossil sectors</li> </ul>
Can al of rest sector	lso muddy the interpretation ults if underlying source r variability is unknown.	<ul> <li>Can cause biases in results; error in both transport and background is often not random, can be seasonal.</li> </ul>	or biogenic vs. fossil vs. "natural" fluxes (for CO <sub>2</sub> or CH <sub>4</sub> ).

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOG U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### AGES activities may also help us leverage efforts

**Emissions Variability** 

Biogenic variability at the urban scale

Sectoral Attribution

- Thermogenic/Biogenic CH<sub>4</sub> partitioning;
- "Post/Pre" meter fugitive NG leaks

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

In DC-Balt. Yadav found that the biosphere begins to obscure the signal in spring differently depending on the year.



In LA (SOCAB), He et al. estimate 1.4% of residential and commercial NG consumed is released to atmosphere.



#### **JGR** Biogeosciences

RESEARCH ARTICLE	A Modified Vegetation Photosynthesis and Respiration
10.1029/2021JG006290	Model (VPRM) for the Eastern USA and Canada, Evaluated
Key Points: • VPRM is customized for eastern North America with a new respiration model including EVI non-linear	With Comparison to Atmospheric Observations and Other Biospheric Models
<ul> <li>temperature, and water stress factors</li> <li>Continuous atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub></li></ul>	Sharon M. Gourdji <sup>1</sup> <sup>©</sup> , Anna Karion <sup>1</sup> <sup>©</sup> , Israel Lopez-Coto <sup>1</sup> <sup>©</sup> , Subhomoy Ghesh <sup>1,2</sup> <sup>©</sup> ,
observations from 21 towers are used	Kimberly L. Mueller <sup>1</sup> <sup>©</sup> , Yu Zhou <sup>3,4</sup> <sup>©</sup> , Christopher A. Williams <sup>3</sup> <sup>©</sup> , Ian T. Baker <sup>s</sup> <sup>©</sup> ,
to evaluate gridded CO <sub>2</sub> flux estimates	Katharine D. Haynes <sup>5</sup> <sup>©</sup> , and James R. Whetstone <sup>1</sup> <sup>©</sup>

#### What types of activities planned to investigate urban biosphere?

Geophysica	Research Letters	
RESEARCH LETTER 10,1029/2019GL083400	Atmospheric Methane Emissions Correlate With Natural Gas Consumption From Residential and Commercial	
Liyin He and Zhao-Cheng Zeng con- tributed equally.	Sectors in Los Angeles	
Key Points: • A mountaintop remote sensing spectrometer is used to derive the time series and spatial pattern of	Liyin He <sup>1</sup> , Zhao-Cheng Zeng <sup>1</sup> , Thomas J. Pongetti <sup>2</sup> , Clare Wong <sup>1,3</sup> , Jianming Liang <sup>4</sup> , Kevin R. Gurney <sup>4</sup> , Sally Newman <sup>1,5</sup> , Vineet Yadav <sup>2</sup> , Kristal Verbulst <sup>2</sup> , Charles E. Miller <sup>2</sup> , Riley Duren <sup>2</sup> , O, Christian Frankenberg <sup>1,2</sup> , Paul O. Wennberg <sup>1,6</sup> , Run-Lie Shia <sup>1</sup> , Yuk L. Yung <sup>1,2</sup> , and Stanley P. Sander <sup>1,2</sup> ,	
What ty	pes of activities planned	to
investig	ate VOC emissions that m	hay
be co-lo	cated with CH <sub>4</sub> emissions	s?
Other p	roxy measurements	
planned	?	

### Thank You.

kimberly.mueller@nist.gov

**NIST team**: James Whetstone (director), David Allen, Tyler Boyle, Subhomoy Ghosh, Sharon Gourdji, Anna Karion, Israel Lopez-Coto, Hratch Semerjian, Tamae Wong

Please visit 4:30 Session Instrument Team Presenters (GHG)!!!

